18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## 1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 NETFLIX, INC., a Delaware corporation, No. C 06-02361 WHA 11 Plaintiff, 12 ORDER RE JOINT STIPULATION v. OF DISMISSAL WITH 13 BLOCKBUSTER, INC., a Delaware **PREJUDICE** corporation, 14 Defendant. 15 16 The Court has signed a modified stipulation of dismissal with prejudice. The Court will 17

The Court has signed a modified stipulation of dismissal with prejudice. The Court will not acquiesce in the proviso that the Court should retain jurisdiction because the parties did not supply a copy of the settlement agreement to the Court and it has no way of forecasting the difficulties counsel have in mind. Even if the settlement agreement were provided, the Court might or might not be willing to be a future referee for disputes arising out of the settlement agreement. Finally, in light of the parallel consumer class action, the Court's signing of this order should not be construed as judicial approval of the settlement agreement; rather, this order simply dismisses the action with prejudice at the request of the immediate parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 26, 2007.

WILLIAM ALSUP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE